

February 15, 1973

Dr. Barr:

MEMO, McCRAW TO DEAL, "CLEANUP GUIDES AND TIME SCHEDULES . . .," 1/23/73

I should have sent this sooner.

1. Tommy McCraw has been through the Bikini operation, and he has had experience, which puts him ahead of us on the practical aspects. We have to make due allowance for his expertise. But, . . .

2. Paragraph 3 writes an order for what can be the Radiological Assessment Review Group, although the memo says the group has not been clearly identified. Reviewing the survey results and dose assessments can be read as an assignment to be accomplished by Barr & Co. on May 22 and subsequently. Since McCraw uses the singular, group, and includes making the final judgements; well, there we are up against that word "judgements" again. It fell out of the memo from Gen Camm to the Commissioners, and I don't know if that forever bans it from anything but the patois evolving about the return of Eniwetok to TTPI and the People.

3. The five categories which are expanded under paragraph 3 contain numerous items which will involve Tobin and the Eniwetok People if my reading of Tobin's report was correct. And probably a lot more of the EP than of Tobin. If such be the case, the group (8th word, 2d line, para 3) is not the RARG. (Seems to me we'll have to recommend subscripts for those using group, where group, is a typical, representative group. Or switch to synonyms such as team, board, and unit.)

4. I wonder what AEC has in mind to keep people out of off limits areas. See No. 3 under Land Use.

5. In the dietary Precautions/Limitations class someone will have to gather at least three people who are familiar with tropical island on- and off-shore food production. Got any on the RARG? What about fresh water -- drinking, washing?

6. In the Contaminated Debris and Other Hazards category, someone will have to be sure to look under water on both lagoon and open ocean side and at the reefs between islands. The Bikini operation may have provided a wealth of experience to assure completeness, but it wouldn't hurt to ask.

7. Followup Requirements: Item 1 should specify "time of return" health status and continuing studies, even though it may be implied. Will we be looking for transuranics in food and people with passage of time? In item 3, I would prefer something like periodic evaluation of restrictions, which doesn't promise their removal. Although probability may be low, we could find recommendations for increasing restrictions.

8. On page 3, note the sentence beginning "While we cannot unilaterally say what is acceptable . . ." Does the FRC RPG set the upper limits?

9. Page 4, Monitoring and Reporting. Who does the certification of completion in item 4? This set of requirements does not seem to allow any leeway for surprises, e.g., and new and different hot spot.

5005430

N

2304

10. Page 6. How does the schedule shown in the Forecast look to you?
11. Shall we ask Deal if we can provide a copy of this to Dan Wilson?
12. I suppose we should send some comments to Deal. If you'll note topics you would like mentioned, I'll whip something up.


W. Schroebel

5005431

2305